

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/335,648	06/18/1999	KEVIN L. KIMLE	P03566USO	6709	
-:-75	90 06/04/20031				
DANIEL J COSGROVE ZARLEY MCKEE THOMTE VOORHEES & SEASE SUITE 3200			EXAMINER		
			KYLE, CHARLES R		
801 GRAND A	· · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3624		
DES MOINES,	IA 503092721				
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/335,648	KIMLE ET AL.					
· /\avieo/y/\subset	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Charles R Kyle	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							
C. Delect and Tradement Office							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Due diligence is not proven by the latest declaration. The declaration has been carefully reviewed and shows much activity in pursuit of the business, but little of technical activity. Most entries refer to financing activity, presentations, proposal preparation and generalized discussions. Occassional entries mention technical aspects such as prototyping or HTML features, but these are not deatiled or frequent. No evidence such as dated software printouts indicating continuous technical activity is presented. The evidence provided shows substantial effort in business aspects but not in development of the invention..

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER